



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/691,691

10/24/2003

Takaya Matsuishi

244421US2

6629

22850

7590

09/19/2008

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

RUTLEDGE, AMELIA L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2176

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/19/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/691,691	Applicant(s) MATSUISHI, TAKAYA	
	Examiner AMELIA RUTLEDGE	Art Unit 2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,7,9-16 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 7, 9-16, and 21-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to: Amendment, entered 06/20/2008.
2. Claims 1, 2, 7, 9-16, and 21-26 are pending. Claims 1, 15, 16, 25, and 26 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 9-16, and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nicholas, U.S. Patent No. 6,865,719 B1, issued March 2005, application filed August 2000.

Regarding independent claim 1, Nicholas discloses *a display data creating apparatus that creates display data representing a plurality of display sections, each of the display sections containing a prescribed type of information, in response to a request from a client apparatus supplied via a network, and transmits the display data to the client apparatus via the network*, because Nicholas teaches displaying a message or messages to the user containing a prescribed type of information (Fig. 2A; col. 2, l. 38-col. 3, l. 65), transmitting the display data to a client browser in response to a

request via the network, such as the internet (col. 4, l. 16-50). Nicholas teaches that the message may transmit an alert to a user (col. 15, l. 55-col. 16, l. 8; col. 17, l. 25-49).

Nicholas teaches *a display data creating apparatus comprising: a determination part that determines for at least one of the display sections whether the information to be contained in a current display section satisfies a prescribed condition based on display configuration definition information and has a display mode attribute indicating a conditioned status, the prescribed condition indicating whether information contained in the current display section has been updated*, because Nicholas teaches that the message may transmit an alert to a user, for example when the price of a stock dips to a given price indicated by the user, i.e., a time critical event, the alert indicating that the information has been updated (col. 15, l. 55-col. 16, l. 8; col. 17, l. 25-49). Nicholas discloses additional examples of information to be contained in a display message satisfying a prescribed condition indicating updated information (col. 16, l. 9-65). Therefore, Nicholas teaches that the displayed message is used to provide a notification to the user when a prescribed condition has been satisfied, and that the prescribed condition may be based on user cursor activity in the display (Fig. 8B; col. 2, l. 54-col. 3, l. 23), or on a notification to the user when information has been updated (col. 3, l. 49-col. 4, l. 15).

Nicholas teaches *a display data creating part that automatically changes a display format of the current display section to enhance visual recognition if the information to be contained in the current display section satisfies the prescribed condition by creating the display data so that the current display section is expanded*

when the prescribed condition is satisfied, because Nicholas teaches that the message section can be expanded or enlarged when relevant to the user (col. 2, l. 38-54, especially l. 53-54). Nicholas teaches that the message can be expanded allowing the user to view more details based on user cursor activity (col. 11, l. 22-60). Nicholas teaches that the message program can run in the background of any electronic device to notify the user of information by showing the notification message when the given event occurs, (col. 15, l. 8-col. 16, l. 28), including a time critical event, the alert indicating that the information has been updated (col. 15, l. 55-col. 16, l. 8; col. 17, l. 25-49).

Regarding dependent claim 2, Nicholas teaches *a display configuration managing part that manages the display configuration definition information including a determination function used in the determination carried out for the current display section, wherein the determination part determines whether the prescribed condition is satisfied in the current display section based on the determination function*, because Nicholas teaches that the displayed message is used to provide a notification to the user when a prescribed condition has been satisfied, and that the function for determining prescribed condition can be based on user cursor activity in the display (Fig. 8B; col. 2, l. 54-col. 3, l. 23), or on a notification to the user when information has been updated (col. 3, l. 49-col. 4, l. 15).

Regarding dependent claim 7, Nicholas teaches, *wherein the display data creating part creates the display data so that the current display section is arranged so as not to be below the other display sections when the prescribed condition is satisfied*,

because Nicholas teaches that the displayed message image is arranged to move over the display screen as the cursor icon moves, staying with the user focus of attention (col. 2, l. 54-col. 3, l. 12; Fig. 4B; fig. 8A).

Regarding dependent claim 9, Nicholas teaches *wherein the display data creating part updates the current display section based on the display configuration definition information managed by the display configuration managing part*, because Nicholas teaches that the displayed message is used to update the display and provide a notification to the user when a prescribed condition has been satisfied, and that the prescribed condition may be based on user cursor activity in the display (Fig. 8B; col. 2, l. 54-col. 3, l. 23), or on a notification to the user when information has been updated (col. 3, l. 49-col. 4, l. 15).

Regarding dependent claim 10, Nicholas teaches *wherein the display configuration definition information of each of said at least one of the display sections includes the determination function*, because Nicholas teaches that each message has the determination function (Fig. 8A, col. 12, l. 49-col. 13, l. 54).

Regarding dependent claim 11, Nicholas teaches *wherein the display configuration definition information includes information indicating whether or not the prescribed condition is set for each of the display sections*, because Nicholas teaches steps of determining whether a trailing message feature is active, and if not the trailing message can be removed (Fig. A8, step 806; col. 12, l. 49-64). Nicholas teaches additional steps including repositioning the message to overlap the text or input field (col. 13, l. 10-32).

Nicholas teaches *the display configuration definition information defining the display section for which the prescribed condition is set includes the determination function*, because Nicholas teaches that each message has the determination function (Fig. 8A, col. 12, l. 49-col. 13, l. 54). Nicholas teaches that the displayed message is used to update the display and provide a notification to the user when a prescribed condition has been satisfied, and that the prescribed condition may be based on user cursor activity in the display (Fig. 8B; col. 2, l. 54-col. 3, l. 23), or on a notification to the user when information has been updated (col. 3, l. 49-col. 4, l. 15).

Regarding dependent claim 12, Nicholas teaches *wherein the display configuration data includes an information acquiring function required to obtain necessary information for each of the display sections, wherein the display data creating part acquires the information to be contained in the current display section based on the corresponding information acquiring function*, because Nicholas teaches that the displayed message is used to update the display and provide a notification to the user when a prescribed condition has been satisfied, and that the prescribed condition may be based on user cursor activity in the display (Fig. 8B; col. 2, l. 54-col. 3, l. 23), or on a notification to the user when information has been updated (col. 3, l. 49-col. 4, l. 15).

Regarding dependent claim 13, Nicholas teaches, *wherein: the information to be contained in the current display section is information representing the condition of equipment connected on the network; the determination part determines whether malfunction has occurred in the equipment; and the display data creating part updates the current display section so as to indicate the occurrence of malfunction if it is*

determined that malfunction has occurred in the equipment, because Nicholas teaches that the message display can be used for network administration alerts of problems on the network (col. 16, l. 55-65; col. 15, l. 25-43).

Regarding dependent claim 14, Nicholas teaches, *wherein: the information to be contained in the current display section is information stored in a database connected on the network; the determination part determines whether the database has been updated; and the display data creating part updates the current display section so as to present the information stored in the database if it is determined that the database has been updated*, because Nicholas teaches updating the message display to send user notifications of information repositories, i.e., databases connected on the network, when the data has been changed (col. 16, l. 8-28; col. 15, l. 25-43).

Regarding independent claim 15, claim 15 reflects the display data transmission system used to implement the display data creating apparatus as claimed in independent claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Regarding independent claim 16, Nicholas teaches *a method for transmitting display data comprised of a plurality of display sections, each display section containing a prescribed type of information, to a client apparatus*, because Nicholas teaches displaying a message or messages to the user containing a prescribed type of information (Fig. 2A; col. 2, l. 38-col. 3, l. 65), transmitting the display data to a client browser in response to a request via the network, such as the internet (col. 4, l. 16-50). Nicholas teaches that the message may transmit an alert to a user (col. 15, l. 55-col. 16, l. 8; col. 17, l. 25-49).

Nicholas teaches *upon receiving a request from the client apparatus, determining for at least one of the display sections whether information to be contained in a current display section satisfies a prescribed condition based on display configuration definition information and has a display mode attribute indicating a conditioned status, the prescribed condition indicating whether information contained in the current display section has been updated*, because Nicholas teaches that the message may transmit an alert to a user, for example when the price of a stock dips to a given price indicated by the user, i.e., a time critical event, the alert indicating that the information has been updated (col. 15, l. 55-col. 16, l. 8; col. 17, l. 25-49). Nicholas discloses additional examples of information to be contained in a display message satisfying a prescribed condition indicating updated information (col. 16, l. 9-65). Therefore, Nicholas teaches that the displayed message is used to provide a notification to the user when a prescribed condition has been satisfied, and that the prescribed condition may be based on user cursor activity in the display (Fig. 8B; col. 2, l. 54-col. 3, l. 23), or on a notification to the user when information has been updated (col. 3, l. 49-col. 4, l. 15).

Nicholas teaches *creating the display data by automatically changing a display format of the current display section to enhance visual recognition in accordance with the determination result if the information to be contained in the current display section satisfies the prescribed condition by creating the display data so that the current display section is expanded when the prescribed condition is satisfied*; because Nicholas teaches that the message section can be expanded or enlarged when relevant to the user (col. 2, l. 38-54, especially l. 53-54). Nicholas teaches that the message can be

expanded allowing the user to view more details based on user cursor activity (col. 11, l. 22-60). Nicholas teaches that the message program can run in the background of any electronic device to notify the user of information by showing the notification message when the given event occurs, (col. 15, l. 8-col. 16, l. 28), including a time critical event, the alert indicating that the information has been updated (col. 15, l. 55-col. 16, l. 8; col. 17, l. 25-49).

Nicholas teaches *transmitting the created display data to the client apparatus via a network* (col. 15, l. 25-43; col. 2, l. 38-50).

Regarding dependent claim 21, claim 21 reflects the methods used for the display data creating apparatus as claimed in dependent claim 7, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Regarding dependent claim 23, claim 23 reflects the methods used for the display data creating apparatus as claimed in dependent claim 13, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Regarding dependent claim 24, claim 23 reflects the methods used for the display data creating apparatus as claimed in dependent claim 14, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Regarding independent claim 25, claim 25 reflects the machine readable program executed by a display data creating apparatus used to implement the methods as claimed in independent claim 16, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Regarding independent claim 26, claim 26 reflects the recording medium storing a machine readable program executed by a display data creating apparatus

used to implement the methods as claimed in independent claim 16, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments entered 06/20/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

While applicant argues that Nicholas does not disclose at col. 15, l. 55-col. 16, l. 55 "how an alert notification message immediately appears on a user's presentation" (Remarks, p. 13, par. 4), Nicholas does disclose the newly claimed limitations *a display mode attribute indicating a conditioned status...* and *...so that the current display section is expanded when the prescribed condition is satisfied* (Claim 1). In the cited passages, Nicholas discloses displaying a notification to a user when a prescribed condition occurs, such as when a stock reaches a current price. Nicholas discloses a graphical user interface with elements that meet the claim.

Further, Nicholas discloses "automatically changing a display format of a current display section to enhance visual recognition", because Nicholas discloses at col. 15, l. 55-col. 16, l. 55 that the notification is displayed to the user upon the occurrence of a particular event, without requiring action by the user. While applicant argues that Nicholas discloses that "a user must manipulate a display screen to open a desired screen when a message appears on the screen" (Remarks, p. 12), the message that appears on the screen requires no user intervention to make it appear, rather the message is displayed after a triggering event. Nicholas teaches that the message

section can be expanded or enlarged when relevant to the user (col. 2, l. 38-54, especially l. 53-54). Therefore Nicholas teaches automatically changing a display format.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMELIA RUTLEDGE whose telephone number is (571)272-7508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton can be reached on 571-272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Doug Hutton/
Doug Hutton
Supervisory Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2100